

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/674,138	Applicant(s) GAFFE ET AL.	
	Examiner James M Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M Hewitt.

(2) Leo McCormick.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 9 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend the abstract, specification and claims as proposed by the Examiner and as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to obviate various informalities. The Examiner also informed Applicant's representative that a new declaration would be required, as also noted in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.